

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/601,905	06/23/2003	Winnie Wong	ALPINE.030AUS	7575
7590 01/24/2005		EXAMINER		
MURAMATSU & ASSOCIATES			LOUIS JACQUES, JACQUES H	
Suite 225 7700 Irvine Center Drive			ART UNIT PAPER NUME	
Irvine, CA 92618			3661	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
N	Office Action Summany	10/601,905	WONG, WINNIE	WONG, WINNIE			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jacques H Louis-Jacques	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Se	eptember 2004.					
		action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ¡ ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Campbell [US 2004/0008225].

Campbell discloses a method, apparatus, and computer program product for providing a graphical user interface with a linear map component, wherein points of interest (POIs) along a specified street (e.g., a route or travel path) are displayed and wherein the POIs are stored in a database. For example, as shown in figures 6A-6D and figure 8, Campbell discloses a list of POIs (e.g., Jin's service, Town Flowers, First Savings Bank, Upland Pharmacy, etc.) along a specified street (e.g., Center Ave). See also figures 10, 34, and 35. Figure 7 also depicts a list of POIs along a selected street. Figure 12 refers to a

database for storing the POIs. More particularly, Figure 13 shows inputting a desired street name (step 204) and retrieving the street name from a database (38). See also figure 38. Furthermore, Figure 31 shows that the database (38) includes a list of POIs wherein a list of POIs is retrieved (step 351) from the database. Campbell further discloses specifying a name of a city (e.g., Manhattan) and retrieving POIs along a specified street with the specified city. See figures 13-17. The system, according to Campbell, can retrieve POIs along an entire range of the specified street or along the specified street within a specified city. See figures7-9 and, in particular, figure 35. Campbell also discloses intersecting streets and displaying or retrieving POIs along the specified street between the intersecting streets. See figures 8, 10, 34 and 35.

Response to Amendments & Arguments

3. The amendments along with the arguments filed therewith on September 13, 2004 have been entered and carefully considered by the examiner.

In particular, Applicant has amended the claims to recite "the use of a POI database where POIs are selectable by specifying either a place type or a place name" and a name of a street is specified to retrieve POIs "located" along the "specified" street. Emphasis added.

Applicant argued with respect to the applied prior art references that neither Kadaba et al nor Yokata discloses "a navigation system that retrieves <u>POIs located along a specified</u> street or a part of the specified street."

In figures 2D-2F, Yokata provides the listing of POIs located on a specified street. Furthermore, in figure 3, Yokata provides a POI database (45). In addition, Yokata discloses selecting or searching for a POI by specifying either a place type or a place name (figure 5A). While Yokata does not specifically mention a database of POIs along a specified street, such database is apparent from the figures. However, notwithstanding Applicant's arguments and in light of the amendments to the claims, the patent publication to Campbell has been applied for disclosing such feature.

Campbell discloses a method, apparatus, and computer program product for providing a graphical user interface with a linear map component, wherein points of interest (POIs) along a specified street (e.g., a route or travel path) are displayed and wherein the POIs are stored in a database. For example, as shown in figures 6A-6D and figure 8, Campbell discloses a list of POIs (e.g., Jin's service, Town Flowers, First Savings Bank, Upland Pharmacy, etc.) along a specified street (e.g., Center Ave). See also figures 10, 34, and 35. Figure 7 also depicts a list of POIs along a selected street. Figure 12 refers to a database for storing the POIs. More particularly, Figure 13 shows inputting a desired street name (step 204) and retrieving the street name from a database (38). See also figure 38. Furthermore, Figure 31 shows that the database (38) includes a list of POIs wherein a list of POIs is retrieved (step 351) from the database. Campbell further discloses specifying a name of a city (e.g., Manhattan) and retrieving POIs along a specified street with the specified city. See figures 13-17. The system, according to Campbell, can retrieve POIs along an entire range of the specified street or along the specified street within a specified city. See figures 7-9 and, in particular, figure 35. Campbell also

discloses intersecting streets and displaying or retrieving POIs along the specified street between the intersecting streets. See figures 8, 10, 34 and 35.

In light of the above, the claims, as amended, are found to be unpatentable over the prior art. Accordingly, the claims are rejected and this office action is made final as necessitated by the amendments.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

5,680,312 · Oshizawa et al Oct. 1997

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques H Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is 703-305-9757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 703-305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacques H Louis-Jacques Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661

/jlj

